

Appendix B: Additional Data

Appendix B1: UCLA Evaluation Questions and PAD Goals

Goal	Evaluation Questions	Approach
Goal 1: Decrease community violence and increased perception of safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Did Part I and Part II crime rates decline during PAD implementation in participating parks? How has crime rate changed at PAD parks over time? ▪ How did participants' perceptions of safety attending PAD compare with their perception of safety in their community? How did this change over time? What contributed to participants' sense of safety? ▪ How did PAD impact park and community safety, and community/law enforcement interactions? How satisfied were participants with the level of law enforcement and community engagement? ▪ <i>South Agencies only:</i> What activities were implemented by the "Safe Passages" initiative? What was the perceived impact of these "Safe Passages" activities and Community Intervention Worker presence/support? 	This goal was assessed by examining the changes in rates of violent and property crimes during PAD operation in participating parks compared to control parks; PAD participants' perceptions of safety attending PAD compared with their perception of safety in their community; and satisfaction with the level of law enforcement and community engagement.
Goal 2: Facilitate Cross-Sector Collaboration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Which departments and agencies collaborated in PAD? In what ways did PAD increase cross-sector collaboration within Los Angeles County departments? ▪ What organizations provided PAD programming? ▪ How can PAD cross-sector collaboration be improved? 	This goal was assessed by identifying the departments and agencies that collaborated for PAD and how the PAD implementation structure and activities increased cross-sector collaboration amongst providers and participants from the perspective of key informants.
Goal 3: Increase Social Cohesion and Community Well-being in the Targeted Communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ How did participants' perception of social cohesion during PAD compare with their perception of community social cohesion? ▪ Did community well-being increase among PAD participants? ▪ How did PAD assess community needs? What additional needs were identified among PAD participants that can be addressed by future programming (e.g., mental health or social services)? What programming was added in response? ▪ How did park staff incorporate trauma and 	This goal was assessed by comparing participants' perception of social cohesion during PAD with their perception of community social cohesion; participants' perception of PAD providing opportunities to spend quality time with family; and changes in perceptions of family bonding among PAD participants.

Goal	Evaluation Questions	Approach
	<p>healing informed practices into PAD?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ What programming did PAD offer for improving mental health? 	
<p>Goal 4: Increase access to free recreational programming and health and social Services</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ What was the “reach” of PAD (number of attendees by zip code)? ▪ What was the participant profile (e.g., age, race/ethnicity, household income)? ▪ Did participants have prior involvement with PAD? ▪ What were the outreach strategies utilized by PAD parks? ▪ What programs and services were offered by PAD? ▪ How satisfied were participants with PAD activities and services? What activities were most popular amongst PAD participants? ▪ What additional activities and services were recommended by PAD participants? ▪ What are the opportunities for improvement to the PAD staffing model, including partnerships with organizations to provide programming (i.e., Sheriff services, CBOs, other county departments)? ▪ How did PAD contribute to positive youth development (e.g., summer youth employment, teen clubs/events, etc.)? 	<p>This goal was assessed by examining the PAD marketing approach; range of programs and services offered by PAD; attendance during PAD operating months; satisfaction of participants with PAD activities and services; and recommendations of PAD participants for additional activities and services.</p>
<p>Goal 5: Increase physical activity and decrease chronic disease risk</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Did PAD increase levels of physical activity, comparing PAD participant self-reports at baseline and PAD administrative data? ▪ What types of physical activity did attendees participate in at PAD? What is the estimated impact of PAD on reducing chronic disease risk? ▪ How can physical activity during PAD be sustained outside of PAD? 	<p>This goal was assessed by examining the rates of physical activity of PAD participants during PAD using PAD participant self-reports and PAD attendance from DPR administrative data and anticipated impact of PAD on reducing burden of disease.</p>
<p>Goal 6: Cost Savings Attributable to PAD</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ What were the overall PAD program expenditures? ▪ What is the estimated cost saving in health care expenditures due to reduced disease burden and criminal justice system expenditures due to crime that is attributable to PAD? 	<p>This evaluation goal was assessed by examining the overall PAD program expenditures and the estimated impact of PAD on expenditures due to burden of chronic disease and the criminal justice system.</p>

Appendix B2: 2022 Summer PAD Participant Survey Descriptives

Exhibit 1a: PAD Attendees Perceptions of Safety at PAD Parks and Their Neighborhoods in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Summer 2022

Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Ted Watkins	PAD Group One Total	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two Total	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three Total	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Helen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four Total
Perception of safety during PAD attendance																										
Unsafe	8	7	5	5	4	2	11	2	3	3	-	-	6	6	5	6	5	7	6	-	4	5	-	-	-	6
Somewhat safe	32	23	32	36	28	32	36	17	31	27	32	41	31	24	32	37	5	31	44	19	32	25	38	37	29	29
Very safe	60	70	63	58	67	66	53	81	66	70	62	59	63	70	63	57	75	62	50	75	63	70	58	62	63	65
Perception of neighborhood safety from crime																										
Unsafe	8	-	15	8	9	7	10	3	7	4	10	-	5	4	8	7	5	4	11	-	6	6	9	6	12	6
Somewhat Safe	40	40	46	46	45	47	44	33	41	42	42	67	46	35	39	43	26	32	47	46	46	38	49	45	34	37
Very Safe	52	56	39	46	45	46	46	64	52	54	48	30	49	61	53	50	69	64	42	49	48	56	41	48	54	57

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 1b: PAD Attendees Perceptions of Safety at PAD Parks and Their Neighborhoods in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Summer 2022

		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)														
		Group Five (2017)			Group Six (2018)										Group Seven (2022)	
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five Total	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six Total	Magic Johnson
Perception of safety during PAD attendance																
Unsafe	8	-	6	6	8	-	-	-	24	5	7	5	-	10	13	8
Somewhat safe	32	-	29	29	37	-	-	25	38	24	35	34	-	38	35	41
Very safe	60	-	65	65	56	-	-	73	38	71	58	61	-	53	52	51
Perception of neighborhood safety from crime																
Unsafe	8	-	3	3	8	-	-	-	19	3	7	5	-	5	10	7
Somewhat safe	40	-	35	35	40	-	-	44	41	38	41	43	-	44	41	43
Very safe	52	-	62	62	52	-	-	56	40	59	52	52	-	51	48	50

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 2a: PAD Attendees Perceptions of Satisfaction with Law Enforcement in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Summer 2022

		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)																								
		Group one (2010)				Group Two (2012)				Group Three (2015)				Group Four (2016)												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Ted Watkins	PAD Group One Total	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two Total	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three Total	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Helen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four Total
Number of Deputy Sheriffs at PAD																										
Just right	68	79	64	71	70	75	70	67	71	76	58	70	70	73	84	67	71	69	69	61	79	79	71	74	89	71
Not enough	21	14	30	21	23	19	19	26	21	10	31	23	18	17	10	25	13	12	18	39	14	11	22	22	8	16
Too many	11	7	6	8	7	6	11	7	8	14	11	8	12	10	7	7	15	19	13	-	10	8	7	4	3	12
Agreed that PAD improved relationships between community and Deputies	89	96	87	92	91	91	90	80	95	95	92	97	88	88	94	91	93	92	92	87	95	96	93	92	98	92

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 2b: PAD Attendees Perceptions of Satisfaction with Law Enforcement in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Summer 2022

Park Name		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)														
		Group Five (2017)			Group Six (2018)											Group Seven (2022)
		Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five Total	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six Total	Magic Johnson
Number of Deputy Sheriffs at PAD	All PAD Parks															
Just right	68	-	64	64	59	-	-	80	58	84	60	71	-	74	64	67
Not enough	21	-	26	25	26	-	-	18	31	8	26	20	-	18	25	28
Too many	11	-	10	11	15	-	-	2	11	8	14	9	-	8	11	5
Agreed that PAD improved relationships between community and Deputies	89	-	85	85	87	-	-	89	76	93	88	91	-	90	85	80

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 3a: Attendees Family Attendance and Bonding during PAD in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Summer 2022

		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)																								
		Group One (2010)				Group Two (2012)				Group Three (2015)				Group Four (2016)												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Ted Watkins	PAD Group One Total	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two Total	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three Total	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Helen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four Total
Attended PAD with children	84	86	87	86	86	84	85	84	84	90	78	91	87	76	83	82	81	87	91	55	80	87	69	83	84	83
Attended PAD with children of ages:																										
0-5	41	50	46	42	40	30	47	51	34	32	51	47	40	54	36	53	32	34	36	58	41	43	32	37	67	33
6-12	65	69	69	70	60	71	68	63	59	61	90	63	58	49	66	62	64	67	82	71	64	66	69	63	33	54
13-18	28	27	26	23	23	28	15	17	19	33	31	17	20	21	28	61	28	23	27	31	27	37	32	37	--	25
PAD increased quality time with family	94	96	98	96	96	97	96	100	97	97	97	100	98	94	96	96	94	91	97	94	98	96	95	99	100	94

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 3b: Attendees Family Attendance and Bonding during PAD in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Summer 2022

Park Name		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)														
		Group Five (2017)			Group Six (2018)											Group Seven (2022)
		Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five Total	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six Total	Magic Johnson
Attended PAD with children	84	91	75	83	84	-	-	96	88	88	86	79	-	81	85	74
Attended PAD with children of ages:																
0-5	41	20	36	28	62	-	-	33	48	35	45	67	-	34	34	25
6-12	65	62	44	53	92	-	-	55	63	69	67	33	-	62	53	46
13-18	28	27	11	19	-	-	-	38	24	36	13	33	-	32	24	23
PAD increased quality time with family	94	99	98	99	93	-	-	96	80	98	94	96	-	93	89	95

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 4a: PAD Attendees Social Cohesion and Improvement in Social Cohesion Due to PAD in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Summer 2022

		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)																								
		Group One (2010)				Group Two (2012)				Group Three (2015)				Group Four (2016)												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Ted Watkins	PAD Group One Total	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two Total	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three Total	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Helen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four Total
Agreed that he/she lives in a close-knit, unified community	88	89	81	83	83	91	86	87	88	90	94	95	92	91	90	91	96	93	87	86	90	92	95	85	95	92
Agreed that PAD helps to get to know neighbors better	91	88	90	92	91	95	92	93	93	90	88	93	90	92	94	93	96	95	87	94	92	95	99	89	98	94

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.


Exhibit 4b: PAD Attendees Social Cohesion and Improvement in Social Cohesion Due to PAD in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Summer 2022

Park Name	Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)															
	Group Five (2017)			Group Six (2018)											Group Seven (2022)	
	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five Total	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	Magic Johnson	
Agreed that he/she lives in a close-knit, unified community	84	-	90	89	88	-	-	92	79	93	90	89	-	82	85	80
Agreed that PAD improves relationship with neighbors	96	-	93	93	94			93	81	89	94	90	-	92	88	93

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 5: PAD Visits by Park, Summer 2022



Source: County of Los Angeles, Department of Parks and Recreation, Summer 2022.

Exhibit 6a: Characteristics of PAD Attendees by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Summer 2022

		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)																								
		Group One (2010)				Group Two (2012)				Group Three (2015)				Group Four (2016)												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Ted Watkins	PAD Group One Total	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two Total	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three Total	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Helen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four Total
Age																										
0-16	13	14	6	15	13	11	10	8	10	13	11	-	11	11	6	5	13	31	17	-	3	11	11	7	-	16
17-21	15	13	14	14	14	17	17	4	13	1	8	-	11	13	18	15	23	12	7	-	32	11	6	23	24	15
22-39	39	51	52	39	44	43	44	34	40	41	35	47	41	38	43	42	24	36	37	52	40	39	53	37	29	38
40-59	27	22	24	28	26	23	27	46	32	29	35	45	33	29	25	32	24	16	37	33	17	32	28	18	27	24
60+	6	-	4	4	3	6	3	8	5	-	11	-	4	10	8	5	15	5	-	-	7	7	-	16	15	7
Female	65	70	73	64	67	72	70	66	70	67	62	71	66	68	70	65	54	62	68	64	60	72	68	60	68	64
Race/ Ethnicity																										
African American	19	-	8	-	24	2	61	17	24	5	16	51	3	6	6	20	10	10	20	-	50	-	-	9	-	14
Native American/Alaskan Indian	4	-	-	4	2	2	6	2	3	3	-	-	4	4	-	3	5	7	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4
Asian or Pacific Islander	9	17	-	2	3	2	4	15	7	8	11	-	8	6	33	8	5	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	15	5
Hispanic/Latinx	62	73	89	89	68	92	24	41	56	77	67	44	79	74	55	65	76	75	75	53	43	89	85	58	62	71
White	6	6	-	3	2	2	4	25	10	5	-	-	4	8	-	4	3	4	-	37	3	4	-	25	24	5
Other	1	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Primary Language Spoken at Home																										
English	64	49	47	67	60	62	82	81	74	57	47	63	55	79	53	53	57	51	37	93	74	41	51	77	76	56
Spanish	34	43	49	32	39	37	18	17	25	38	53	37	42	20	28	46	43	49	63	-	25	58	48	17	24	43
Other	2	8	4	-	1	-	-	2	1	4	-	-	3	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 6b: Characteristics of PAD Attendees by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Summer 2022

		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)														
		Group Five (2017)			Group Six (2018)											Group Seven (2022)
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five Total	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six Total	Magic Johnson
Age																
0-16	13	-	14	14	20	-	-	-	13	7	16	7	-	16	12	13
17-21	15	-	12	12	19	-	-	-	22	10	15	8	-	34	17	18
22-39	39	-	38	38	35	-	-	73	34	49	41	41	-	34	38	29
40-59	27	-	28	28	22	-	-	18	26	30	23	34	-	14	27	31
60+	6	-	9	8	4	-	-	-	6	4	5	9	-	-	6	9
Female	65	-	68	67	49	-	-	79	65	74	57	69	-	42	63	72
Race/ Ethnicity																
African American	19	-	3	7	48	-	-	13	34	5	10	21	-	40	24	29
Native American/Alaskan Indian	4	-	5	5	5	-	-	-	8	4	2	2	-	-	5	-
Asian or Pacific Islander	9	-	7	6	3	-	-	-	11	11	4	38	-	-	17	-
Hispanic/Latinx	62	-	79	75	39	-	-	82	43	70	83	31	-	52	47	64
White	6	-	6	6	4	-	-	-	3	9	-	8	-	-	5	-
Other	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Primary Language Spoken at Home																
English	64	-	69	69	80	-	-	66	64	60	56	77	-	74	70	74
Spanish	34	-	29	29	17	-	-	34	35	38	44	13	-	24	26	22
Other	2	-	2	2	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	11	-	-	4	-

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

Exhibit 7a: PAD Attendance and Outreach by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Summer 2022

Park Name	Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)																									
	Group One (2010)				Group Two (2012)				Group Three (2015)				Group Four (2016)													
	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Ted Watkins	PAD Group One Total	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two Total	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three Total	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Helen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four Total
Frequency of park visit(s)																										
Daily	40	41	47	39	41	32	40	13	28	29	46	26	33	27	36	38	69	68	50	38	39	40	57	34	30	51
Weekly	40	35	42	43	42	49	45	47	47	56	41	58	52	47	38	45	25	24	38	38	41	46	36	51	48	36
Monthly	10	6	7	9	8	9	5	17	11	10	-	14	9	12	13	10	3	6	5	13	11	10	-	11	11	8
1 – 2 Times a Year	5	5	-	5	4	4	5	13	7	-	-	-	3	4	5	4	3	1	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	2
First Time	5	12	-	4	4	4	5	10	7	3	-	-	3	10	8	3	-	1	6	-	4	3	-	-	-	3
PAD outreach method ¹																										
Live in area/ walking by	60	57	73	73	71	71	43	52	52	57	75	65	63	60	60	55	74	5	72	56	62	70	63	57	47	63
Flyer	22	2	16	16	17	21	22	23	23	31	24	15	27	22	20	35	28	18	15	21	23	16	23	37	30	26
Internet (e.g., website, Facebook, Twitter)	13	16	9	7	8	11	15	18	18	1	12	20	14	8	8	17	24	9	11	26	8	8	11	28	26	13
Somebody told me	21	11	16	21	18	17	28	27	27	23	22	13	21	20	29	22	22	11	15	21	29	22	17	24	30	19
Attended last year	12	15	14	10	12	13	20	15	15	6	19	15	11	8	9	10	13	12	12	-	9	11	22	23	-	12
Frequency of PAD visit(s), planned and actual																										
Once or twice this summer	31	32	28	28	30	29	19	38	29	40	27	25	34	38	43	23	32	33	32	32	30	34	32	19	34	31
Once a week this summer	35	30	24	24	29	36	41	29	35	40	32	33	37	37	34	39	19	21	37	39	35	35	41	42	46	30
All or most nights this summer	34	38	47	47	41	35	40	33	36	21	41	43	29	25	23	39	49	46	32	29	35	31	27	39	20	38

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

¹ Multiple responses possible.

Exhibit 7b: PAD Attendance and Outreach by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Summer 2022

Park Name	Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)															
	Group Five (2017)			Group Six (2018)											Group Seven (2022)	
	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five Total	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Ringrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six Total	Magic Johnson
Frequency of park visit(s)																
Daily	40	-	40	40	42	-	-	18	32	39	54	21	-	42	33	26
Weekly	40	-	45	45	36	-	-	56	31	43	38	53	-	41	40	50
Monthly	10	-	8	8	8	-	-	10	22	10	4	13	-	-	14	11
1 – 2 Times a Tear	5	-	4	4	4	-	-	8	10	3	2	7	-	6	7	2
First Time	5	-	3	3	10	-	-	8	6	5	-	6	-	9	6	11
PAD outreach method ¹																
Live in area/ walking by	60	-	66	66	60	-	-	52	43	66	79	63	-	63	57	53
Flyer	22	-	23	23	21	-	-	19	24	17	13	20	-	24	21	22
Internet (e.g., website, Facebook, Twitter)	13	-	9	9	9	-	-	27	18	4	11	16	-	5	14	9
Somebody told me	21	-	21	21	23	-	-	21	24	23	14	16	-	32	21	26
Attended last year	12	-	9	9	7	-	-	10	16	9	10	9	-	9	11	7
Frequency of PAD visit(s), planned and actual																
Once or twice this summer	31	-	40	40	34	-	-	33	27	26	30	35	-	27	31	24
Once a week this summer	35	-	30	30	37	-	-	38	45	36	28	46	-	46	42	39
All or most nights this summer	34	-	30	30	29	-	-	29	28	38	42	19	-	27	28	37

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

¹ Multiple responses possible.

Exhibit 8a: PAD Attendees’ Physical Activity Level and Participation in PAD Physical Activities in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Summer 2022

Park Name		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)																								
		Group One (2010)				Group Two (2012)				Group Three (2015)				Group Four (2016)												
All PAD Parks		Pamela	Roosevelt	Ted Watkins	PAD Group One Total	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two Total	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three Total	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Helen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four Total
Reaches recommended level of daily physical activity																										
Youth	19	-	42	-	15	-	36	30	20	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
Adult (17 and older)	46	42	54	48	49	49	52	46	49	38	48	57	44	35	38	49	65	53	31	67	49	40	42	55	51	49
Type of physical activity participation ¹																										
Team sport	49	38	58	54	53	43	58	59	52	52	38	46	47	53	63	38	4	56	56	41	54	42	43	64	43	52
Walking club	32	55	41	39	41	28	23	26	26	35	29	35	33	38	22	32	60	22	28	47	43	59	46	28	27	34
Exercise class	27	31	23	31	29	25	26	22	25	29	29	39	35	16	17	28	45	15	36	29	35	24	23	40	24	25
Swimming	28	12	27	29	27	49	49	44	44	17	31	-	20	11	8	36	44	25	6	29	35	10	23	10	32	26

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

¹ Multiple responses possible.

Exhibit 8b: PAD Attendees’ Physical Activity Level and Participation in PAD Physical Activities in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Summer 2022

		Park Group (Year in which park joined PAD)														
		Group Five (2017)			Group Six (2018)											Group Seven (2022)
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five Total	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Ringrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six Total	Magic Johnson
Reaches recommended level of daily physical activity																
Youth	19	-	15	7	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	8
Adult (17 and older)	46	-	43	49	47	-	-	32	44	46	52	33	-	40	42	58
Type of physical activity participation ¹																
Team sport	49	-	50	50	59	-	-	55	32	45	64	47	-	57	45	25
Walking club	32	-	36	36	24	-	-	23	24	40	41	33	-	39	29	40
Exercise class	27	-	21	21	28	-	-	35	22	36	32	33	-	31	28	51
Swimming	28	-	12	12	27	-	-	16	45	13	9	17	-	19	29	12

Source: Summer 2022 PAD participant surveys (n=8,109).

Note: Results are not displayed for cells with a numerator less than 5.

¹ Multiple responses possible.

Appendix B3: 2022 Winter PAD Participant Survey Descriptives

Exhibit 1a: PAD Demographics by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2010				2012				2015				2016												
Park Name																										
All PAD Parks		Pamela	Roosevelt	Watkins	PAD Group One	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Hellen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four
Age																										
10-15	16%	7%	31%	5%	24%	24%	9%	3%	14%	4%	1%	10%	4%	31%	6%	-	6%	34%	-	4%	4%	-	6%	-	6%	19%
16-25	15%	4%	11%	9%	10%	11%	10%	9%	10%	7%	7%	12%	8%	15%	5%	-	11%	12%	-	12%	11%	-	10%	-	5%	11%
26-39	41%	50%	36%	52%	40%	47%	44%	45%	46%	53%	54%	47%	52%	33%	57%	-	51%	34%	-	37%	47%	-	57%	-	48%	42%
40-59	24%	36%	18%	30%	23%	18%	29%	40%	28%	35%	32%	27%	33%	18%	27%	-	27%	15%	-	28%	33%	-	24%	-	29%	22%
60+	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	-	8%	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	-	5%	4%	-	18%	6%	-	3%	-	12%	5%
Female	65%	80%	61%	83%	67%	71%	86%	81%	77%	79%	85%	88%	82%	62%	88%	-	73%	58%	-	82%	82%	-	85%	-	77%	70%
Race/Ethnicity																										
African American	8%	5%	6%	27%	24%	2%	31%	13%	24%	3%	4%	-	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	8%	-	6%	22%	-	1%	-	6%	14%
Native American/Alaskan																										
Indian	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	4%	2%	-	2%	1%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	2%	4%
Asian or Pacific Islander	14%	14%	2%	4%	3%	9%	5%	13%	7%	45%	4%	20%	8%	7%	43%	-	5%	2%	-	2%	6%	-	3%	-	15%	5%
Hispanic/Latinx	71%	77%	87%	66%	68%	85%	60%	49%	56%	44%	83%	69%	79%	80%	52%	-	88%	88%	-	75%	66%	-	89%	-	33%	71%
White	5%	4%	4%	-	2%	2%	1%	21%	10%	5%	7%	8%	4%	6%	2%	-	2%	2%	-	15%	3%	-	3%	-	39%	5%
Other	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-	2%	-	5%	0%
Primary language spoken at home																										
English	71%	65%	52%	49%	60%	74%	67%	80%	57%	57%	48%	65%	55%	66%	41%	-	59%	41%	-	68%	61%	-	44%	-	98%	56%
Spanish	22%	32%	47%	50%	39%	24%	33%	15%	23%	38%	48%	31%	42%	30%	29%	-	40%	58%	-	30%	37%	-	56%	-	2%	43%
Other	7%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	5%	20%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	30%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	2%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 1b: PAD Demographics by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2017			2018										2022	
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six	Magic Johnson
Age																
10-15	16%	8%	-	8%	15%	-	-	-	6%	-	5%	19%	6%	4%	14%	6%
16-25	15%	17%	-	18%	8%	-	-	-	9%	-	11%	37%	7%	5%	22%	11%
26-39	41%	52%	-	52%	45%	-	-	-	53%	-	60%	22%	56%	54%	38%	38%
40-59	24%	18%	-	18%	29%	-	-	-	30%	-	21%	19%	27%	32%	23%	38%
60+	4%	5%	-	5%	3%	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%	8%
Female	65%	78%	-	77%	78%	-	-	-	86%	-	80%	54%	75%	70%	68%	80%
Race/Ethnicity																
African American	8%	2%	-	7%	17%	-	-	-	23%	-	1%	4%	3%	14%	24%	30%
Native American/Alaskan																
Indian	2%	2%	-	5%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	3%	3%	2%	5%	-
Asian or Pacific Islander	14%	19%	-	6%	3%	-	-	-	6%	-	3%	43%	19%	5%	17%	4%
Hispanic/Latinx	71%	76%	-	75%	79%	-	-	-	68%	-	94%	42%	69%	75%	47%	65%
White	5%	-	-	6%	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	8%	6%	2%	5%	-
Other	1%	2%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%
Primary language spoken at home																
English	71%	78%	-	69%	50%	-	-	-	57%	-	54%	77%	71%	40%	70%	57%
Spanish	22%	19%	-	29%	49%	-	-	-	33%	-	45%	15%	22%	60%	26%	42%
Other	7%	3%	-	2%	1%	-	-	-	10%	-	1%	8%	7%	-	4%	1%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 2a: PAD Attendance and Outreach by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2010				2012				2015				2016													
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Watkins	PAD Group One	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Hellen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four	
Frequency of park visit(s)																											
Daily	17%	18%	18%	20%	24%	11%	23%	10%	14%	9%	1%	15%	4%	20%	10%	-	21%	30%	-	15%	11%	-	16%	-	5%	19%	
Weekly	43%	36%	48%	53%	10%	56%	38%	35%	10%	38%	7%	49%	8%	50%	50%	-	49%	47%	-	26%	41%	-	50%	-	14%	11%	
Monthly	20%	27%	13%	14%	40%	22%	23%	20%	46%	22%	54%	15%	52%	15%	26%	-	15%	13%	-	35%	17%	-	12%	-	19%	42%	
1-2 times a year	9%	9%	10%	5%	23%	4%	10%	20%	28%	14%	32%	15%	33%	7%	8%	-	8%	4%	-	9%	14%	-	11%	-	26%	22%	
First time	11%	10%	11%	8%	4%	6%	6%	15%	2%	17%	4%	7%	3%	6%	6%	-	7%	6%	-	15%	17%	-	10%	-	37%	5%	
PAD outreach method *																											
Live in area/walking by	37%	42%	32%	58%	37%	41%	37%	26%	35%	32%	35%	31%	32%	43%	36%	-	46%	54%	-	35%	32%	-	34%	-	21%	42%	
Flyer	24%	10%	23%	22%	21%	21%	30%	30%	26%	22%	24%	29%	24%	23%	22%	-	35%	20%	-	24%	19%	-	30%	-	13%	23%	
Internet (e.g., website,Facebook,Twitter)	29%	27%	29%	16%	27%	23%	28%	31%	27%	33%	21%	22%	28%	21%	21%	-	27%	19%	-	44%	32%	-	28%	-	46%	26%	
Somebody told me	20%	24%	24%	19%	23%	17%	15%	21%	18%	18%	29%	13%	20%	20%	18%	-	12%	17%	-	23%	27%	-	22%	-	25%	19%	
Attended last year	10%	14%	7%	10%	9%	11%	6%	13%	11%	8%	11%	18%	10%	6%	15%	-	10%	6%	-	6%	9%	-	7%	-	10%	8%	
Frequency of PAD visit(s), planned and actual																											
More than once a week	22%	25%	21%	33%	23%	18%	19%	14%	17%	9%	21%	14%	13%	17%	28%	-	27%	28%	-	26%	23%	-	23%	-	13%	24%	
Once a week	40%	32%	45%	29%	41%	43%	40%	39%	41%	53%	42%	41%	48%	58%	41%	-	42%	44%	-	39%	42%	-	43%	-	25%	45%	
Once or twice this season	38%	43%	33%	38%	35%	39%	40%	47%	42%	38%	37%	45%	39%	25%	32%	-	31%	28%	-	35%	35%	-	34%	-	61%	32%	

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

* Denotes multiple responses possible.

2b: PAD Attendance and Outreach by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2017			2018										2022	
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six	Magic Johnson
Frequency of park visit(s)																
Daily	17%	16%	-	8%	15%	-	-	-	29%	-	27%	5%	11%	29%	14%	19%
Weekly	43%	34%	-	18%	53%	-	-	-	35%	-	48%	35%	34%	48%	22%	46%
Monthly	20%	20%	-	52%	12%	-	-	-	53%	-	14%	46%	25%	8%	38%	14%
1-2 times a year	9%	9%	-	18%	10%	-	-	-	30%	-	6%	9%	13%	6%	23%	8%
First time	11%	20%	-	5%	14%	-	-	-	3%	-	6%	5%	16%	9%	3%	12%
PAD outreach method *																
Live in area/walking by	37%	28%	-	27%	39%	-	-	-	44%	-	55%	20%	34%	53%	35%	24%
Flyer	24%	17%	-	17%	26%	-	-	-	30%	-	26%	29%	19%	22%	25%	35%
Internet (e.g., website,Facebook,Twitter)	29%	26%	-	27%	29%	-	-	-	13%	-	18%	54%	32%	19%	34%	13%
Somebody told me	20%	25%	-	24%	18%	-	-	-	20%	-	14%	15%	22%	16%	17%	28%
Attended last year	10%	20%	-	20%	3%	-	-	-	13%	-	14%	13%	9%	14%	11%	9%
Frequency of PAD visit(s), planned and actual																
More than once a week	22%	21%	-	21%	30%	-	-	-	28%	-	32%	20%	16%	27%	24%	19%
Once a week	40%	42%	-	42%	43%	-	-	-	40%	-	35%	22%	42%	28%	33%	36%
Once or twice this season	38%	37%	-	37%	27%	-	-	-	33%	-	33%	58%	42%	45%	43%	44%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

* Denotes multiple responses possible.

Exhibit 3a: Satisfaction with PAD by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2010				2012				2015				2016												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Watkins	PAD Group One	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Hellen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four
PAD is important for my community	94%	95%	97%	95%	96%	99%	95%	98%	98%	93%	97%	92%	94%	94%	93%	-	96%	92%	-	97%	99%	-	99%	-	100%	95%
I can participate in activities I can't otherwise afford	93%	94%	94%	95%	94%	95%	95%	89%	93%	93%	97%	95%	95%	95%	95%	-	98%	97%	-	95%	96%	-	93%	-	90%	96%
There are a variety of activities that I want to do	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	98%	96%	97%	96%	95%	100%	97%	98%	98%	-	99%	96%	-	98%	99%	-	98%	-	99%	98%
Would attend PAD again	96%	99%	96%	92%	96%	97%	95%	96%	96%	92%	97%	96%	94%	98%	96%	-	95%	90%	-	92%	98%	-	98%	-	96%	95%
Would recommend PAD to others	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	100%	96%	96%	97%	91%	95%	96%	96%	97%	99%	-	95%	92%	-	95%	99%	-	100%	-	98%	98%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 3b: Satisfaction with PAD by PAD Park in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2017			2018										2022	
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six	Magic Johnson
PAD is important for my community	94%	-	-	95%	95%	-	-	-	81%	-	94%	95%	-	95%	90%	95%
I can participate in activities I can't otherwise afford	93%	95%	-	95%	92%	-	-	-	97%	-	93%	80%	95%	96%	89%	94%
There are a variety of activities that I want to do	96%	100%	-	100%	98%	-	-	-	99%	-	95%	91%	96%	100%	95%	97%
Would attend PAD again	96%	100%	-	100%	98%	-	-	-	95%	-	99%	98%	97%	96%	98%	95%
Would recommend PAD to others	97%	100%	-	94%	97%	-	-	-	93%	-	99%	100%	97%	93%	92%	99%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 4a: PAD Attendees Perceptions of Satisfaction with Law Enforcement in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2010				2012				2015				2016													
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Watkins	PAD Group One	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Hellen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four	
Perception of safety during PAD attendance																											
Unsafe	8%	4%	11%	12%	10%	7%	7%	5%	6%	5%	9%	-	5%	3%	3%	-	5%	12%	8%	3%	-	8%	-	2%	6%		
Somewhat safe	40%	26%	54%	49%	49%	36%	41%	30%	35%	41%	38%	36%	40%	36%	48%	-	37%	42%	47%	58%	-	36%	-	37%	42%		
Very safe	53%	71%	35%	39%	40%	57%	52%	65%	59%	53%	52%	64%	55%	61%	49%	-	59%	46%	45%	39%	-	56%	-	61%	52%		
Factors contributing to safety																											
Deputy Sheriffs	54%	62%	45%	54%	49%	64%	54%	48%	57%	43%	52%	42%	45%	53%	43%	-	68%	49%	52%	48%	-	55%	-	68%	54%		
Park staff	55%	50%	47%	46%	47%	59%	43%	51%	54%	50%	51%	54%	51%	54%	54%	-	61%	50%	49%	53%	-	48%	-	59%	53%		
People around	38%	41%	32%	39%	34%	46%	54%	41%	46%	36%	33%	32%	34%	27%	35%	-	42%	27%	34%	24%	-	40%	-	55%	33%		
Positive atmosphere	29%	40%	19%	18%	22%	32%	26%	39%	33%	16%	35%	16%	20%	23%	12%	-	29%	17%	32%	25%	-	31%	-	42%	24%		
Nothing/did not feel safe	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%	-	3%	5%	2%	5%	-	-	3%	2%	5%	-	2%	1%	6%	2%	-	-	-	1%	2%		
Perception of neighborhood safety from crime																											
Unsafe	52%	7%	20%	27%	19%	11%	15%	10%	11%	8%	26%	7%	12%	10%	8%	-	69%	13%	15%	21%	-	13%	-	2%	12%		
Somewhat safe	8%	52%	58%	46%	55%	56%	59%	42%	52%	44%	39%	41%	42%	50%	57%	-	5%	58%	50%	60%	-	50%	-	36%	54%		
Very safe	40%	41%	23%	28%	26%	33%	26%	48%	41%	48%	36%	52%	46%	40%	35%	-	26%	29%	35%	19%	-	36%	-	62%	34%		

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 4b: PAD Attendees Perceptions of Satisfaction with Law Enforcement in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2017			2018										2022	
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six	Magic Johnson
Perception of safety during PAD attendance																
Unsafe	8%	5%	-	5%	6%	-	-	-	10%	-	8%	4%	10%	19%	7%	17%
Somewhat safe	40%	39%	-	38%	49%	-	-	-	42%	-	44%	22%	35%	44%	34%	40%
Very safe	53%	56%	-	57%	45%	-	-	-	48%	-	49%	74%	55%	37%	58%	43%
Factors contributing to safety																
Deputy Sheriffs	54%	44%	-	45%	38%	-	-	-	48%	-	47%	79%	52%	49%	59%	28%
Park staff	55%	51%	-	52%	43%	-	-	-	58%	-	53%	84%	48%	41%	63%	51%
People around	38%	54%	-	53%	25%	-	-	-	27%	-	38%	55%	39%	33%	42%	35%
Positive atmosphere	29%	34%	-	33%	33%	-	-	-	11%	-	36%	55%	29%	29%	39%	30%
Nothing/did not feel safe	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%
Perception of neighborhood safety from crime																
Unsafe	52%	8%	-	7%	11%	-	-	-	20%	-	16%	29%	9%	36%	14%	19%
Somewhat safe	8%	47%	-	48%	56%	-	-	-	55%	-	55%	32%	53%	48%	46%	61%
Very safe	40%	45%	-	45%	32%	-	-	-	25%	-	29%	58%	37%	17%	39%	20%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 5a: PAD Attendees Perceptions of Safety at PAD Parks and Their Neighborhoods in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2010				2012				2015				2016												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Watkins	PAD Group One	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Hellen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four
Agreed that Deputy Sheriffs positively engaged with community members	94%	93%	90%	91%	91%	93%	98%	92%	94%	94%	97%	100%	96%	96%	92%		99%	96%		91%	95%		94%		99%	96%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 5b: PAD Attendees Perceptions of Safety at PAD Parks and Their Neighborhoods in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2017			2018							2022				
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six	Magic Johnson
Agreed that Deputy Sheriffs positively engaged with community members	94%	97%		97%	89%				94%		90%	99%	95%	94%	94%	94%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 6a: PAD Attendees Physical Activity Level and Participation in PAD Physical Activities in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2010				2012				2015				2016												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Watkins	PAD Group One	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Hellen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four
Physical activity at PAD *																										
Team sports	41%	46%	39%	47%	41%	49%	45%	47%	48%	39%	32%	54%	40%	39%	38%	-	39%	43%	-	39%	38%	-	43%	-	38%	40%
Walking club	42%	48%	43%	39%	43%	36%	43%	26%	35%	38%	38%	34%	37%	43%	47%	-	40%	45%	-	41%	34%	-	43%	-	44%	43%
Exercise class	25%	34%	19%	27%	22%	23%	25%	36%	27%	18%	28%	24%	22%	27%	14%	-	24%	23%	-	23%	28%	-	30%	-	30%	25%
Swimming	15%	11%	17%	20%	16%	18%	17%	33%	23%	9%	21%	7%	12%	6%	6%	-	21%	17%	-	14%	22%	-	15%	-	24%	15%
Participation in physical activity at PAD *																										
More than once a week		25%	21%	33%	23%	18%	19%	14%	17%	9%	21%	14%	13%	17%	28%	-	27%	28%	-	26%	23%	-	23%	-	13%	24%
Once a week		32%	45%	29%	41%	43%	40%	39%	41%	53%	42%	41%	48%	58%	41%	-	42%	44%	-	39%	42%	-	43%	-	25%	45%
more than 1 hour		43%	33%	38%	35%	39%	40%	47%	42%	38%	37%	45%	39%	25%	32%	-	31%	28%	-	35%	35%	-	34%	-	61%	32%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

* Denotes multiple responses possible.

Exhibit 6b: PAD Attendees Physical Activity Level and Participation in PAD Physical Activities in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2017			2018										2022	
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six	Magic Johnson
Physical activity at PAD *																
Team sports	41%	51%	-	51%	41%	-	-	-	37%	-	40%	28%	37%	42%	37%	32%
Walking club	42%	33%	-	33%	33%	-	-	-	48%	-	34%	54%	51%	46%	45%	52%
Exercise class	25%	33%	-	33%	29%	-	-	-	16%	-	23%	33%	30%	30%	27%	20%
Swimming	15%	14%	-	14%	11%	-	-	-	9%	-	4%	7%	16%	22%	11%	9%
Participation in physical activity at PAD *																
More than once a week		21%	-	21%	30%	-	-	-	28%	-	32%	20%	16%	27%	24%	19%
Once a week		42%	-	42%	43%	-	-	-	40%	-	35%	22%	42%	28%	33%	36%
more than 1 hour		37%	-	37%	27%	-	-	-	33%	-	33%	58%	42%	45%	43%	44%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

* Denotes multiple responses possible.

Exhibit 7a: PAD Attendees Social Cohesion and Improvement in Social Cohesion Due to PAD in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2010				2012				2015				2016												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Watkins	PAD Group One	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Hellen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four
Agreed that he/she lives in a close-knit, unified community	81%	89%	82%	81%	92%	90%	83%	81%	45%	85%	76%	85%	93%	91%	89%	-	86%	85%	-	76%	80%	-	86%	-	92%	38%
Agreed that if there is a crisis, there are others to talk to	89%	86%	83%	90%	85%	92%	85%	86%	89%	87%	85%	91%	87%	95%	93%	-	86%	94%	-	85%	89%	-	88%	-	94%	92%
PAD helps to get to know neighbors better	91%	89%	87%	96%	88%	92%	94%	87%	91%	90%	86%	96%	90%	96%	89%	-	95%	96%	-	91%	92%	-	91%	-	85%	93%
PAD provides a sense of belonging within community	95%	95%	91%	95%	92%	96%	94%	94%	95%	93%	94%	98%	94%	98%	95%	-	98%	96%	-	90%	92%	-	97%	-	98%	96%
Positive family atmosphere	97%	96%	95%	95%	96%	98%	99%	96%	97%	95%	95%	100%	97%	99%	100%	-	97%	98%	-	97%	98%	-	98%	-	100%	94%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 7b: PAD Attendees’ Physical Activity Level and Participation in PAD Physical Activities in Percentages (%), PAG Groups 5 through 7, 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2017			2018										2022	
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six	Magic Johnson
Agreed that he/she lives in a close-knit, unified community	81%	85%	-	10%	85%	-	-	-	86%	-	86%	54%	87%	70%	41%	81%
Agreed that if there is a crisis, there are others to talk to	89%	88%	-	89%	85%	-	-	-	86%	-	87%	96%	91%	86%	90%	90%
PAD helps to get to know neighbors better	91%	95%	-	95%	91%	-	-	-	97%	-	92%	82%	94%	91%	89%	93%
PAD provides a sense of belonging within community	95%	97%	-	97%	95%	-	-	-	96%	-	95%	100%	95%	94%	97%	93%
Positive family atmosphere	97%	100%	-	95%	99%	-	-	-	98%	-	97%	100%	97%	100%	89%	98%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

Exhibit 8a: Attendees Family Attendance and Bonding during PAD in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 1 through 4, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2010				2012				2015				2016												
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Pamela	Roosevelt	Watkins	PAD Group One	City Terrace	Jesse Owens	Loma Alta	PAD Group Two	Bassett	Salazar	San Angelo	PAD Group Three	Adventure	Allen Martin	Athens	Belvedere	Bethune	East Rancho Dominguez	El Cariso	Hellen Keller	Mayberry	Obregon	Stephen Sorensen	Val Verde	PAD Group Four
Attended PAD with children	85%	92%	88%	84%	86%	91%	90%	88%	84%	91%	85%	96%	87%	88%	92%	-	93%	89%	-	79%	91%	-	89%	-	81%	83%
Attended PAD with children of ages: *																										
0-5	45%	41%	47%	34%	45%	47%	45%	48%	47%	51%	53%	28%	47%	39%	39%	-	39%	45%	-	43%	49%	-	52%	-	62%	44%
6-12	67%	66%	69%	82%	71%	65%	64%	69%	66%	58%	60%	80%	63%	71%	71%	-	72%	63%	-	70%	69%	-	62%	-	56%	67%
13-18	19%	15%	19%	21%	18%	8%	19%	21%	14%	11%	13%	13%	12%	12%	20%	-	23%	21%	-	9%	21%	-	23%	-	18%	19%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

* Denotes multiple responses possible.

Exhibit 8b: Attendees Family Attendance and Bonding during PAD in Percentages (%), PAD Groups 5 through 7, Winter 2022

Year in which the park joined PAD		2017			2018										2022	
Park Name	All PAD Parks	Amigo	Sorensen	PAD Group Five	Carver	Charter Oak	Lane	Jackie Robinson	Mona	Rimgrove	Saybrook	Steinmetz	Valleydale	Washington	PAD Group Six	Magic Johnson
Attended PAD with children	85%	93%	90%	90%	93%	-	-	-	83%	-	92%	52%	92%	90%	85%	68%
Attended PAD with children of ages: *																
0-5	45%	52%	47%	53%	49%	-	-	-	44%	-	50%	25%	50%	45%	40%	40%
6-12	67%	65%	67%	64%	68%	-	-	-	74%	-	70%	53%	64%	75%	63%	63%
13-18	19%	16%	26%	16%	20%	-	-	-	15%	-	14%	41%	14%	24%	25%	25%

Source: 2022 Winter PAD participant surveys (n=5,224).

Note: Results not displayed for values less than 5.

* Denotes multiple responses possible.